THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 4922 of 2006

Dated: 14.3.2006

Between:

S. Vishnuvardhan Reddy.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1. The District Collector, Medak

at Sangareddy and three others.

.....RESPONDENTS

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

WRIT PETITION NO : 4922 of 2006

-

ORDER:

This writ petition is filed seeking a direction to the respondents to consider and pass appropriate orders on the petition dated 10.2.2006 jointly filed by the petitioner and his brother viz., S. Venkateswar Reddy for mutation of entries in revenue records and also for issuance of pattadar pass books and title deeds in respect of the agricultural lands of an extent of AC.12.00 cents viz., Ac.6.00 cents each to the petitioner and his brother in Survey No.261 situated at Annaram village, Jinnaram Mandal, Medak District.

The case of the petitioner is that himself and his brother viz., S. Venkateswar Reddy jointly purchased the land admeasuring Ac.12.00 in Sy. No.261 situated at Annaram village Jinnaram Mandal, Medak District under registered sale deeds bearing Nos.2213 of 1984 and 2214 of 1984, dated 23.8.1984 respectively. It is stated that the litigation in respect of the land in question ended in favour of their vendors finally. It is also stated that the similarly situated persons also filed W.P.No.14718 of 2002 seeking a direction for mutation of their names in the revenue records and the same was disposed of on 3.9.2002 declaring the inaction of the revenue authorities in not disposing of the petitions filed for mutation of revenue records as illegal. It is also stated that the petitioner and his brother filed a joint

application on 10.2.2006 before the 4th respondent-Mandal Revenue Officer, Jinnaram Mandal, Medak District requesting him to mutate their names in the revenue records and issue title deeds and pattadar pass books as per the provisions of A.P. Rights in Land and Pattadar Pass Books Act 1971 read with A.P. Rights in Lands and Pattadar Pass Book Rules, 1958.

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Revenue appearing for the respondents. .

Having regard to the above facts and circumstances of the case and in view of the pendency of the application before the 4th respondent, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I feel it appropriate to direct the 4th respondent to consider the application of the petitioner dated 10.2.2006 and pass appropriate orders within a period of 8 (eight) weeks from today, in accordance with the rules.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No order as to costs.

_____

V.ESWARAIAH.J

Dt. 14.03.2006

Note:

Issue C.C. in one week

(BO)

Nn.